arXiv:1402.4425v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 18 Feb 2014

How random is topological disorder? Phase transitions on random Voronoi lattices

Hatem Barghathi and Thomas Vojta
Department of Physics, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, MO 65409, USA
(Dated: February 19, 2014)

We study the effects of topological (connectivity) disorder on phase transitions in two dimensions, using the random Voronoi lattice as an example. We find that disorder fluctuations in the Voronoi lattice decay much faster with increasing length scale than in generic random systems, yielding a wandering exponent of $\omega = 1/4$. The stability of clean critical points is thus governed by the criterion $\nu > 2/3$ rather than the usual Harris criterion $\nu > 1$, making topological disorder less relevant than generic randomness. The Imry-Ma criterion is also modified, allowing first-order transitions to survive. These results explain a host of puzzling violations of the original criteria for phase transitions on random Voronoi lattices. We illustrate our theory by computer simulations, and we discuss generalizations to other lattices, higher dimensions and quantum phase transitions.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Fh, 05.70.Jk, 64.60.Bd, 75.10.Nr

Two of the central results on phase transitions in disordered systems are the Harris and Imry-Ma criteria. The Harris criterion [1] governs the stability of critical points against disorder. If the correlation length exponent ν of a critical point in a d-dimensional clean system fulfills the inequality $d\nu > 2$, weak disorder is irrelevant and does not change the critical behavior. If $d\nu < 2$, disorder is relevant. It at least changes the universality class, or it gives rise to exotic phenomena such as activated scaling and smeared transitions [2, 3]. The Imry-Ma criterion [4], rigorously proven by Aizenman and Wehr [5], governs the stability of macroscopic phase coexistence: Disorder destroys phase coexistence by domain formation in dimensions $d \leq 2$ [6]. As a consequence, disorder rounds first-order phase transitions in $d \leq 2$.

The predictions of the Harris and Imry-Ma criteria and their generalizations to long-range correlated disorder [7, 8] agree with the vast majority of explicit results on classical, quantum, and nonequilibrium transitions in systems in which the disorder stems from random coupling strengths or spatial dilution.

A number of puzzling results have been reported, however, on phase transitions in *topologically disordered* systems, i.e., systems on lattices with random connectivity. For example, the Ising model on a three-dimensional (3D) random Voronoi lattice displays the same critical behavior as the Ising model on a cubic lattice [9, 10] even though Harris' inequality is violated. Analogously, the 3-state Potts model on a 2D random Voronoi lattice shows clean critical behavior [11] in violation of the Harris criterion. The regular 2D 8-state Potts model features a first-order phase transition. In contrast to the prediction of the Imry-Ma criterion, the transition remains of first order on a random Voronoi lattice [12].

An even more striking discrepancy was observed for the nonequilibrium phase transition of the contact process. This system violates Harris' inequality [13]. Accordingly, disorder introduced via site dilution or random transition rates results in an exotic infinite-randomness critical point and strong Griffiths singularities [14, 15]. In contrast, the contact process on a 2D random Voronoi lattice features clean critical behavior and shows no trace of the exotic strong-randomness physics [16].

To explain these unexpected failures of the Harris and Imry-Ma criteria, several authors suggested that, perhaps, a random Voronoi lattice constitutes rather weak disorder, and the existing results are not in the asymptotic regime. In this view, much larger systems would be necessary to observe the true asymptotic behavior which would, presumably, agree with the Harris and Imry-Ma criteria. However, given the large systems employed in at least some of the cited work, this would imply enormous crossover lengths which do not appear very likely. What, then, is the reason for the failure of the Harris and Imry-Ma criteria on random Voronoi lattices?

In this Letter, we show that the disorder fluctuations of a 2D random Voronoi lattice feature strong anticorrelations and thus decay qualitatively faster with increasing length scale L than those of a generic random system. Specifically, the fluctuations of the average coordination number decay as $L^{-3/2}$ rather than $L^{-d/2} = L^{-1}$. This corresponds to a wandering exponent [17] of $\omega = 1/4$. We therefore obtain a modified stability criterion: Random connectivity is irrelevant at a clean critical point for $\nu > 2/3$ and relevant if $\nu < 2/3$. Topological disorder is thus less relevant than generic randomness. The Imry-Ma criterion is modified analogously, allowing first-order transitions to survive. This explains all the puzzling results on two-dimensional random Voronoi lattices mentioned above. The rest of this Letter is organized as follows: We first introduce many-particle systems on random Voronoi lattices. We then summarize our numerical results on the connectivity fluctuations, and we develop a theory explaining the wandering exponent $\omega = 1/4$. After discussing applications, we also address generalizations to other random lattices and to higher dimensions.

Random lattice or cell structures occur in many areas of physics, chemistry, and biology such as amor-



FIG. 1. (color online). Top row: coordination numbers q_i of individual sites in a random Voronoi lattice (left) and a diluted hexagonal lattice (right). Bottom row: average coordination number Q_μ of blocks with $L_b = 8$. The strong suppression of the fluctuations in the Voronoi lattice is clearly visible. (The same color (gray) scale is used left and right).



FIG. 2. (color online). Standard deviation σ_Q of the average coordination number of blocks of size L_b for a random Voronoi lattice and a square lattice with 50% bond dilution (100 lattices with 5000^2 sites each). The lines are fits to $\sigma_Q \sim L_b^{-a}$ giving exponents $a = 1.001(2)$ (diluted) and 1.501(3) (Voronoi). Also shown is σ_Q for clusters defined via the link distance d_l (100 lattices with 2000^2 sites) giving $a = 1.52(2)$. Inset: Average coordination number $[Q]_\mu$ of the link-distance clusters and its deviation from $\bar{q} = 6$. The line is a fit to $([Q]_\mu - 6) \sim d_l^{-b}$ yielding $b = 0.99(1)$.

phous solids, foams, and biological tissue. The Voronoi-Delaunay construction is a mathematical algorithm for building a network of cells from a set of lattice sites [18]. In 2D, the Voronoi cell of a site consists of all points in the plane that are closer to this site than to any other. Sites whose Voronoi cells share an edge are considered neighbors. The graph consisting of all bonds connecting pairs of neighbors defines a triangulation of the plane called the Delaunay triangulation. We are interested in Poissonian Voronoi lattices which arise from sites located at independent random positions (see Fig. 1).

Consider a many-particle system on such a random Voronoi lattice, for example an Ising ferromagnet $H = -J\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} S_i S_j$ in which the interactions between the Ising variables $S_i = \pm 1$ are restricted to the nearest (Voronoi) neighbors. Other applications include various classical and quantum spin systems, lattice bosons, Josephson junction arrays and nonequilibrium systems such as the contact process. If our many-particle system undergoes a phase transition, we arrive at the central question of this Letter: Does the disorder introduced by the random connectivity change the character of the transition?

To answer this question, we adapt the stability criteria to the situation at hand. The basic idea of the Harris criterion [1] and its generalization by Luck [17] consists in comparing the fluctuations of the local distance from criticality with the global, average distance from criticality. In systems on random lattices, the local distance from criticality is determined by the local coordination number (number of neighbors) q_i of site i. In the following, we therefore study the coordination number fluctuations of random Voronoi lattices.

Our simulations start by placing N points at random positions in a square of linear size $L = N^{1/2}$, fixing the density at unity. We then perform the Voronoi-Delaunay triangulation using an efficient algorithm inspired by Ref. [19] that allows us to process lattices of up to 5000^2 sites. To study the coordination number fluctuations, we divide the system into square blocks of linear size L_b and calculate the block-averaged coordination number

$$Q_\mu = N_{b,\mu}^{-1} \sum_{i\in\mu} q_i \qquad (1)$$

for each of these blocks. Here, $N_{b,\mu}$ is the number of sites in block μ, and the sum runs over all these sites. The relevant quantity is the standard deviation σ_Q of the block-averaged coordination numbers defined by

$$\sigma_Q^2(L_b) = \left[(Q_\mu - \bar{q})^2\right]_\mu \qquad (2)$$

where $[\ldots]_\mu$ denotes the average over all blocks μ, and $\bar{q}$ is the global average coordination number of the lattice.

Figure 2 shows σ_Q for a random Voronoi lattice of 5000^2 sites with periodic boundary conditions, averaged over 100 disorder realizations. For comparison, the figure also shows σ_Q for a square lattice with 50% bond dilution. In the diluted lattice, the fluctuations follow the law $\sigma_Q \sim L_b^{-d/2} = L_b^{-1}$ with high accuracy, as is expected for uncorrelated disorder because of the central limit theorem. In contrast, the fluctuations in the random Voronoi lattice decay much faster and can be described by $\sigma_Q \sim L_b^{-3/2}$. If we cast these results in terms

of the wandering exponent ω defined via $\sigma_Q \sim L_b^{-d(1-\omega)}$ [17], the diluted lattice features the usual value $\omega = 1/2$ while the random Voronoi lattice has $\omega = 1/4$. A graphical representation of the suppressed fluctuations in the Voronoi lattice is shown in Fig. 1.

The blocks used above are based on the real-space positions of the lattice sites. In addition we also study clusters based on the link distance, the smallest number of nearest neighbor links (bonds) that separate two sites from each other. To construct a cluster we start from a random seed site and then add all of its neighbors, neighbors of neighbors and so on until we reach a maximum link distance d_l. The cluster-averaged coordination number Q_μ can be defined as in eq. (1). When analyzing the standard deviation, it is important to realize that the cluster construction introduces a bias towards site with large coordination numbers (as sites with more neighbors are more likely to be added to the cluster). As a result, the average of the Q_μ is larger than the global average coordination number $\bar{q}$. This can be seen in the inset of Fig. 2. The excess value decays only slowly with cluster size d_l, the data are well described by $([Q]_\mu - 6) \sim d_l^{-1}$. For the link-distance based clusters we therefore use

$$\sigma_Q^2(d_l) = \left[(Q_\mu - [Q]_\mu)^2\right]_\mu \tag{3}$$

rather than eq. (2). The resulting data, also shown in Fig. 2, demonstrate that the coordination number fluctuations of the link-distance based clusters decay with the same power-law $\sigma_Q \sim d_l^{-3/2}$ as those of the real-space blocks. Had we not corrected for the size-dependence of $[Q]_\mu$ and used eq. (2), we would have obtained a spurious decay exponent of -1. This may explain why an earlier study of the random Voronoi lattice [20] reported a wandering exponent of $\omega = 1/2$.

How can we understand the rapid decay of the disorder fluctuations in the random Voronoi lattice? The Euler equation for the graph of the Delaunay triangulation, i.e., the graph consisting of N lattice sites, E edges (bonds) connecting nearest neighbors, and T triangles, reads $N - E + T = \chi$. Here, χ is the Euler characteristic, a topological invariant of the underlying surface. For periodic boundary conditions which are equivalent to a torus topology, $\chi = 0$ [21]. As each triangle has three edges, and each edge is shared by two triangles, $3T = 2E$. This implies $E = 3N$ and thus an average coordination number $\bar{q}$ of precisely 6 for any disorder configuration [22]. The topological constraint thus introduces strong anticorrelations into the coordination number fluctuations. Let us now consider one of the blocks of size L_b introduced above. The relation $3T = 2E$ holds for all triangles and edges completely inside the block but may be violated on the surface. It is thus clear that any deviation of the block-averaged coordination number Q_μ from $\bar{q} = 6$ must stem from the surface of the block. The number of edges crossing the surface scales linearly with L_b, as does the number of sites near the surface. The assumption that each of these sites makes an independent random contribution to Q_μ leads to the estimate



FIG. 3. (color online). Coordination number correlation function $C(r)$ and its integral $D(r)$ vs. distance r averaged over 10^7 lattices of 24^2 sites. Inset: Semi-log plot of $|C(r)|$ and $|D(r)|$. The envelope of $C(r)$ can be well described by a Gaussian with a characteristic length $x_0 \approx 1.25$ (dashed line).

$$\sigma_Q(L_b) \sim L_b^{1/2}/L_b^2 = L_b^{-3/2} \tag{4}$$

in perfect agreement with the numerical data.

To substantiate this simple theory, we study the coordination number correlation function

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{ij}(q_i - \bar{q})(q_j - \bar{q})\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ij}) \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ is the displacement from site i to j. Its integral $D(r)$ over a circular patch of radius r yields the bulk contribution to the fluctuations of the average coordination number of the patch,

$$\sigma^2_{Q,\mathrm{bulk}}(r) = D(r) = \frac{2\pi}{N_r}\int_0^r dr'\, r'\, C(r') \tag{6}$$

where N_r is the number of sites in the patch.

Figure 3 shows numerical results for the correlation function and its integral. $C(r)$ oscillates but the amplitude decays very rapidly with distance r; in fact its envelope can be well described by a Gaussian. Importantly, the integrated correlation function $D(r)$ also decays to zero very rapidly. This implies that the bulk contribution to the coordination number fluctuations becomes unimportant beyond 5 or 6 typical nearest-neighbor distances, leaving only the surface contribution discussed above.

So far, we have analyzed the scaling of the average disorder strength. What about rare regions and atypically large fluctuations? They cause many of the exotic disorder effects at quantum and nonequilibrium transitions [2, 3]. To study rare regions, we calculate the probability P_{RR} of a block having an average coordination number



FIG. 4. (color online). Left: Probability $P_{\rm RR}$ for finding a block of size L_b with an average coordination number above $Q_{\rm RR}$ (10^5 lattices with up to 100^2 sites). The lines are fits to $P_{\rm RR} \sim \exp(-aL_b^3)$. Right: Variance $\sigma^2(T_c)$ of the local T_c of an Ising model on a random Voronoi lattice vs. block size L_b (100 lattices of 100^2 sites, 10^5 Monte Carlo sweeps each). The line is a fit to $\sigma(T_c) \sim L_b^{-c}$ giving $c = 1.56(7)$.

$Q_\mu > Q_{\rm RR}$ where $Q_{\rm RR}$ is a constant larger than the global average $\bar{q}$. The data in the left panel of Fig. 4 show that the rare region probability decays as $P_{\rm RR} \sim \exp(-aL_b^3)$ with block size L_b where a is a $Q_{\rm RR}$-dependent constant. This decay is much faster than the usual $\exp(-aL_b^2)$ dependence for uncorrelated disorder. This behavior can be explained by our phenomenological theory: If the fluctuations in Q_μ are due to an incoherent superposition of surface terms, the probability distribution of Q_μ is a Gaussian whose width is given by (4). Thus, to leading exponential order, $P_{\rm RR} \sim \exp[-\tilde{a}L^3(Q_{\rm RR} - \bar{q})^2]$.

We now apply these results to many-particle systems on random Voronoi lattices. If the interactions between the lattice sites are restricted to the nearest (Voronoi) neighbors and of equal strength, the disorder fluctuations of the many-particle system decay as $L_b^{-3/2}$ with block size. What are the consequences for the Harris criterion? Upon approaching a critical point, the clean critical behavior is stable if the fluctuations of the local distance from criticality between correlation volumes remain below the global distance from criticality. This requires $\xi^{-3/2} < \xi^{-1/\nu}$ and leads to the criterion $\nu > 2/3$. The disorder of the random Voronoi lattice is thus less relevant than generic short-range disorder for which the Harris criterion reads $\nu > 1$. The Imry-Ma criterion is based on comparing the free energy gain due forming a domain that takes advantage of a local disorder fluctuation with the energy cost of the domain wall. In a random Voronoi lattice, the gain scales as $L_b^{1/2}$ while the cost of a domain wall scales as L_b. Forming large domains is thus unfavorable implying that first-order transitions can survive on the random Voronoi lattice.

The coordination number fluctuations determine the bare (in the renormalization group sense) disorder of the many-particle system. To study disorder renormalizations, we calculate the distribution of local critical temperatures T_c of the Ising model, $H = -J\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} S_iS_j$, on the random Voronoi lattice by means of Monte-Carlo simulations. The right panel of Fig. 4 shows the variance of the block T_c (defined as the maximum of the susceptibility) as a function of the block size. The data are described well by $\sigma(T_c) \sim L_b^{-3/2}$ in agreement with the behavior of the coordination number. In general, disorder renormalizations can be expected to generate weak uncorrelated disorder even if the bare disorder is anticorrelated [23]. Our results suggest that this uncorrelated disorder, if any, is very weak (as it is not visible on length scales below $L_b \approx 100$) and thus unobservable in most experiments and simulations [24].

So far, we have considered systems in which all pairs of Voronoi neighbors interact equally strongly. If this is not the case, e.g., because the interactions depend on the distance between neighboring sites, the disorder anticorrelations will be destroyed. The critical behavior is therefore expected to cross over to that of uncorrelated disorder. We have explicitly observed this crossover in the contact process on a random Voronoi lattice [25].

Let us discuss how general these results are. The suppression of the disorder fluctuations is a direct consequence of the Euler equation $N - E + T = \chi$ and the relation $3T = 2E$. We therefore expect our results to hold not just for the random Voronoi lattice but for all random triangulations of the plane provided the bonds are short-ranged, among them the random cell structures suggested by Le Caër [26]. In a three-dimensional random Voronoi lattice, the average coordination number of a finite-size block is *not* fixed by a topological constraint [27]. Our theory thus does not apply to three dimensions. Preliminary numerical results suggest, however, that the coordination number fluctuations still decay faster than $L_b^{-d/2}$ with block size, at least for blocks with $L_b < 400$.

In summary, we have studied topological disorder at phase transitions in two dimensions. We have shown that the topological constraint imposed by the Euler equation leads to strong anticorrelations of the disorder fluctuations. As a result, the disorder fluctuations decay as $L^{-3/2}$ with length scale L rather than as L^{-1} as would be expected for uncorrelated disorder. This makes the topological disorder less relevant, signified in the Harris-Luck criterion $\nu > 2/3$ for the stability of a clean critical point. Moreover, the Imry-Ma criterion is modified to allow first-order transitions to survive in the presence of such topological disorder. These results explain the puzzling apparent failures of the usual Harris and Imry-Ma criteria discussed in the introduction. Note that another type of anticorrelations was recently found to protect a clean critical point in a quantum spin chain [28].

To understand how the fluctuations in the random Voronoi lattice influence the critical behavior, it will

be interesting to study transitions that violate even the Harris-Luck criterion $\nu > 2/3$. A prime example is the quantum phase transition in the transverse-field Ising model on a Voronoi lattice (whose clean critical behavior is in the 3D Ising universality class with $\nu \approx 0.630$). The modified rare region probability of a random Voronoi lattice can also be expected to lead to novel rare region effects and quantum Griffiths singularities. Moreover, the behavior of the disorder fluctuations of higher-dimensional random lattices is still an open question and with it the fate of various classical, quantum and nonequilibrium transitions on such lattices.

This work was supported by the NSF under Grant Nos. DMR-1205803 and PHYS-1066293. We acknowledge the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics.

[1] A. B. Harris, J. Phys. C **7**, 1671 (1974).
[2] T. Vojta, J. Phys. A **39**, R143 (2006).
[3] T. Vojta, J. Low Temp. Phys. **161**, 299 (2010).
[4] Y. Imry and S.-k. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1399 (1975); Y. Imry and M. Wortis, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 3580 (1979); K. Hui and A. N. Berker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2507 (1989).
[5] M. Aizenman and J. Wehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2503 (1989).
[6] If the randomness breaks a continuous symmetry, the marginal dimension is $d = 4$.
[7] A. Weinrib and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 413 (1983).
[8] T. Nattermann, J. Phys. C **16**, 6407 (1983).
[9] W. Janke and R. Villanova, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 134208 (2002).
[10] F. Lima, U. Costa, and R. C. Filho, Physica A **387**, 1545 (2008).
[11] F. Lima, U. Costa, M. Almeida, and J. Andrade Jr., Eur. J. Phys. B **17**, 111 (2000).
[12] W. Janke and R. Villanova, Phys. Lett. A **209**, 179 (1995).
[13] H. Hinrichsen, Adv. Phys. **49**, 815 (2000); G. Odor, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 663 (2004).
[14] J. Hooyberghs, F. Iglói, and C. Vanderzande, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 100601 (2003); Phys. Rev. E **69**, 066140 (2004).
[15] T. Vojta and M. Dickison, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 036126 (2005); T. Vojta, A. Farquhar, and J. Mast, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 011111 (2009); T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 051137 (2012).
[16] M. M. de Oliveira, S. G. Alves, S. C. Ferreira, and R. Dickman, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 031133 (2008).
[17] J. M. Luck, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **24**, 359 (1993).
[18] A. Okabe, B. Boots, K. Sugihara, and S. Chiu, *Spatial Tessellations: Concepts and Applications of Voronoi Diagrams* (Wiley, Chichester, 2000).
[19] M. Tanemura, T. Ogawa, and N. Ogita, J. Comp. Phys. **51**, 191 (1983).
[20] W. Janke and M. Weigel, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 144208 (2004).
[21] Other boundary conditions with different values of χ will just produce subleading corrections to our results.
[22] This also follows from the angle sum in a triangle being π while each site has a total angle of 2π. Thus, 6 triangles must meet on a site on average.
[23] M. Schwartz, J. Villain, Y. Shapir, and T. Nattermann, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 3095 (1993).
[24] A detailed study of this question for several many-particle problems will be performed elsewhere.
[25] H. Barghathi and T. Vojta, Unpublished.
[26] G. L. Caer, J. Phys. A **24**, 1307 (1991); J. Phys. A **24**, 4655 (1991).
[27] This agrees with the fact that the solid-angle sum in a tetrahedron is *not* a constant.
[28] J. A. Hoyos, N. Laflorencie, A. P. Vieira, and T. Vojta, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **93**, 30004 (2011).